

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION I		
10/822,086	04/09/2004	Kenichi Hayashi	SANKY P-250 / 500615.2022	2190	
26418 7	590 12/13/2006	•	EXAMINER		
REED SMITH	H, LLP NT RECORDS DEPART	PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M			
	ON AVENUE, 29TH FL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	NY 10022-7650	2627			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10/822,086		HAYASHI, KENICHI				
		•	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Aristotelis M. Ps		2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS CO (a). In no event, how apply and will expire ause the application	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 Apr</i>	il 2004.						
·			ction is non-fin	al.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowanc	e except for fo	rmal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requiren	nent.					
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any obje								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* (See the attached detailed Office actio			• • •	d.				
					•				
Attachmen	ot(s)								
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗌	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informat Patent Application									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to a dynamic optical recording/reproducing system, particulars of the optical elements, classified in class 369, subclass 112.03.
 - II. Claims 15-17, drawn to an optical element/particular lens –diffractive with non-uniform widths/spacings, classified in class 359, subclass 575.
- III. Claims 18-22, drawn to manufacturing methods, classified in class 264, subclass 1.1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the particulars of the holographic lens composition as defined by the claims of the second group are not found in the first group. The subcombination has separate utility such as holographic card reader –static system.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case ablative processes – i.e., etching a blank element.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions.

Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any

claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions the method of manufacturing has no bearing on the elements in the optical system for patentability.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Due to the divergence of the claimed subject matter, no telephone call was made to applicants in 3. order to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2627

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is given ONE month within which to respond to the above requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M. Psitos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7594. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 6:00 - 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative
or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-2721000.

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

AMP